

|                          |                        |                     |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |
|                          | 10/508,779             | MASAKI ET AL.       |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |
|                          | Nathan Schlientz       | 1616                |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Johann R. Richter. (3) Mathew Jacob.

(2) Nathan Schlientz. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 14 November 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: JP 06-040801, JP 05-038284, and JP 07-099965.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney Jacob discussed the prior art '801 and '284. Attorney Jacob discussed the complexity of organs with respect to microcirculation, which is not present in cells. The '801 publication discloses preservation of organs, whereas '284 and '965 disclose preservation of living cells. Examiners Richter and Schlientz will discuss the complexity of preserving organs with experts within the Patent Office and relate their decision with attorney Jacob.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required